## WHAT EXACTLY IS THE PANEL REVIEWING: THE FIRST STEP IN THE STANDARD OF REVIEW ANALYSIS IN THE WTO DISPUTE RESOLUTIONS

## Tsai-fang Chen\*

## ABSTRACT

This article analyzes the WTO jurisprudence and relevant theories regarding the applications of the standards of review in the WTO dispute resolutions. This article contends that the analyzing structure developed in the theories should be further refined in order to establish a more appropriate standard of panel review of Member's national measures. The first step in the analysis – the determination of the object of review, dictated by the relevant legal obligation encompassed in the treaty texts – should determine whether the exclusion of de novo review is called for. This should be distinguished from the other parts in the standard of review analysis. The Appellate Body Report of Hormones Suspension case seems to adopt this methodology implicitly.

<sup>\*</sup> SJD candidate, University of Wisconsin, School of Law. LLM, Columbia University, School of Law. LLM, National Taiwan University, School of Law. LLB, National Chengchi University. The preliminary draft of this article was presented for the "International Conference on the Future of the WTO" hosted by the Asian Center for WTO and International Health Law and Policy, College of Law, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan, May 24, 2009. The author would like to extend his sincere thanks to Professor Chang-fa Lo for the invitation to the Conference, and to the support of the Asian Center for WTO and International Health Law and Policy. The author is indebted to Professor Tsai-yu Lin and the anonymous reviewer for their insightful and helpful comments regarding the article. The author would also like to express his gratitude for the immense help of Professor Charles R. Irish in his role as advisor of the author's SJD program, in which the author builds the foundation of this article. The author also wishes to acknowledge with gratitude the help of the editorial staffs of the Asian Journal of WTO & International Health Law and Policy. The author can be reached at tchen22@wisc.edu.

**KEYWORDS:** standard of review, DSU, deference, dispute resolution, WTO, interpretation, de novo review